

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       |                            | FILING DATE              | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/009,319            | 10/009,319 11/13/2001      |                          | Fritz Kirchhofer     | 14185               | 6937             |
| 25763                 | 7590 05/19/2004            |                          |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| DORSEY &              |                            |                          | HAYES, MICHAEL J     |                     |                  |
| INTELLEC'<br>50 SOUTH |                            | ROPERTY DEPARTN<br>TREET | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
|                       | MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1498 |                          |                      |                     |                  |

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |
|---|--|---|
|   | 10/009,319   | KIRCHHOFER ET AL.   |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |
| <u></u>   | Michael J Hayes  | 3763  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | pears on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence address  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir<br>y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day<br>vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status  | ·  |   |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fe  | ebruary 2004.  |   |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  | action is non-final.   |   |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar<br>closed in accordance with the practice under E   |  |   |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 2-13 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.  | wn from consideration.   |   |
| Application Papers  |  |   |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine   |  |   |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce   |  |   |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | •  |   |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | •  | •   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicati<br>rity documents have been receive<br>u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No ed in this National Stage   |
|   | e serimen copies not receive   | ·   |
| Attachment(s)   |  |   |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  |   |
| 2) Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |  | Patent Application (PTO-152)  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Multiple Species Presented

Applicant's response to the office action mailed 13 August 2003 has resulted in the presentation of multiple species for consideration. In view of the distinct species now presented, the following election of species is required.

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species 1 drawn to fig. 2a, species 2 drawn to fig. 2b, species 3 drawn to fig. 2c, species 4 drawn to fig. 3a, species 5 drawn to fig. 3b, species 6 drawn to fig. 3c, species 7 drawn to fig. 4 and species 8 drawn to fig. 5. Species 4, 5, and 6 are drawn to the three distinct species of fig. 3, which must be relabeled as figs. 3a, 3b, and 3c.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/009,319 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. David Bruhn on 03 May 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Hayes at (703) 305-5873. The examiner can usually be reached Monday -Thursday, 7:00-4:30, and on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler, can be contacted at (703) 308-3552. The fax number for submitting official papers is (703) 872-9306.

mjh 14 May 2004

MICHAEL J. HAYES PRIMARY EXAMINER

MJ Hoyer